REMARKS

This Response to Office Action is submitted in response to the Office Action dated May 28, 2003. Claims 1 and 3-12 are currently pending. Claims 13, 23-27 and 29-32 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

No fees or payments are believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. However, if any fees or payments are due, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-1818.

In the Claims:

Please cancel claims 13, 23-27 and 29-32 without prejudice or disclaimer.

These claims are being cancelled in order to place this application in condition for allowance and in order to reduce the issues necessary for consideration and/or appeal. As shown below, the claims which remain are clearly patentable and in condition for allowance.

Summary of the Invention

Slot machines are typically very well built with long lasting components. As a result, during their lifetime, many slot machines are converted from one game theme to another game theme, i.e., a "Double Diamond" theme game might be converted to a "Red, White & Blue" theme game. The purpose behind most conversions is to increase machine earnings by switching from a theme which is no longer attracting players and has reduced earnings to a theme which will attract players and increase earnings. In making these conversions it is typical to change the glass and reel strips. In addition, software and hardware changes or upgrades may be made. The ability to make these conversions easily and without removing the machine from a game room floor is very important to gaming establishment operators.

In order to allow for these conversions, most modern slot machines are sold with little or no decoration or ornamentation on their sides. Indeed, the sides of most slot machines are provided with a uniform, neutral finish such as plain black, white or brown metal or wood panels. It would be preferable if the sides of the slot machines could be

Appl. No. 09/690,705

provided with ornamentation or decoration in keeping with, or to complement, the game theme. However, to do so, means must be provided for changing the ornamentation or decoration on the side if the game theme is changed.

The present invention fulfills this need. The present invention provides slot machines with laminated panels which can be decorated in accordance with the game theme and are removable so that they can be changed when the machine is converted from one game them to another.

Additionally, the present invention allows for easy upgrade of the machines due to its component nature and its accommodation of different sized displays.

Further, the present invention facilitates the manufacture of slot machines by allowing for the increased standardization of components along with the increased customization of the machines to the theme of the incorporated game.

Pending Claims

Claims 1 and 3-12 are pending in the application.

Objection to the Drawings

The Objection

The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Specifically, the term "back panel" in claim 13 was objected to as not in the drawings.

Applicant's Response

Claim 13 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claim Rejection based on 35 U.S.C. §112

The Rejection

Claim 25 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the phrase "the display device" in claim 25 was said not to find an antecedent basis in claim 23 from which claim 25 depends.

Applicant's Response

Claims 23 and 25 (and claims which also depend from claim 25) have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer in an effort to place this case in condition for allowance or appeal. It is the present intention of the Applicants to present new claims in a later case directed to the same invention as those claims but in a different form.

Claim Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. §103

The Rejections

All the pending claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatenable over U.S. Patent No. 5,813,914 (McKay, et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,335,604 (Drabczyk). In addition to reliance of the teachings of these references, administrative notice was taken of the following facts: a laminate may be applied to a substrate as a sheet or as a plurality of sheets (cited evidence reference was U.S. Patent No. 5,244,267 (Fossier, Jr., et al.); an adhesive may be used to bond a laminate to a substrate (cited evidence reference was U.S. Patent No. 6,053,585 (Osen)); and differently sized cabinets may be used to form a modular cabinet structure (cited evidence reference was U.S. Patent No. 6,267,462 (Krause, et al.)). Arguments as to the obviousness of certain elements of the claimed invention were made based upon "the general skill of a worker in the art."

Applicant's Response

In an effort to place this application in clear condition for allowance and/or to reduce the number of pending issues for consideration with regard to this Response and or an appeal of the rejection, claims 13 and 23-27 and 29-32 have been canceled in this Office Action. It is Applicants present intention to present these claims in different forms in a later case.

Claim 1 is the only independent claim remaining in the case. Claim 1 (as previously amended) reads as follows:

A gaming device comprising:

a component cabinet;

a display cabinet removably connected to said component cabinet;

a display device included in said display cabinet and operable with a processor and at least one player input device to enable a player to play a game upon a wager by the player; and

side panels removably connected to opposite sides of said component cabinet and said display cabinet, wherein each side panel includes a substrate and a decorative layer connected to the substrate.

The prior art rejection is based upon a combination of references, general skill and administrative notice from unrelated fields. With regard to the references, McKay, et al. relates to gaming machines and Drabczyk relates to office furniture. No teaching, suggestion or motivation for combining these references has been identified. In order to combine references, it its necessary to identify some teaching, suggestion or motivation for doing so in the prior art. In re Mayne, 104 F.3d 1339,1342, 41 USPQ 2d 1451, 1454 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("When relying on numerous references or a modification of prior art, it is incumbent upon the examiner to identify some suggestion to combine references or make the modification"). See also In re Dembicziak, 175 F.3d 994, 999, 50 USPQ 2d 1614, 1617 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ("Our case law makes clear that the best defense against the subtle but powerful attraction of a hindsight-based obviousness analysis is rigorous application of the requirement for a showing of the teaching or motivation to combine prior art references"); In re Dance, 160 F.3d 1339, 1343, 48 USPQ 2d 1635, 1637 (Fed. Cir. 1998) ("To establish a prima facie case of obviousness based on a combination of content of various references, there must be some teaching, suggestion or motivation in the prior art to make the specific combination that was made by the applicant."). The combinations of references, general skill and administrative notice made in the Office Action is improper and based on impermissible hindsight.

Moreover, even the improper combination of references does not teach the claimed invention. McKay, et al. does not teach either (1) a display cabinet <u>removably</u> connected to the component cabinet or (2) side panels <u>removably</u> connected to opposite sides of the component cabinet and the display cabinet. McKay, et al.

discloses a slant-top video gaming device with a unitary component and display cabinet. McKay, et al. does not teach a removably connected display cabinet. In Figure 2, the top cap assembly of the gaming device is shown as removed from the unitary component and display cabinet. See also the "Brief Description of the Drawings" at column 3, lines 39 which states: "Fig. 2 is an elevated perspective view of a gaming device showing a top cap assembly <u>removed</u> therefrom." [Emphasis added] However, McKay, et al. does not teach or suggest removal of the top cap assembly once it is attached to the gaming device cabinet. In fact, McKay, et al. teaches away from such removal by disclosing and claiming means for changing the glass panel in the top cap assembly when the gaming device undergoes changeover from one game to another.

There is no support for the contention that McKay, et al. teaches a cabinet with removably connected side panels. Two of the primary objects of McKay, et al. are to "provide security with respect to areas that are to be sequestered from personnel having different job descriptions" (column 2, lines 59-61) and to "make[s] unauthorized access to various areas of the cabinet less likely and more difficult" (column 2, lines 63-65). The purported side panels of McKay, et al. are not removably connected to the sides of the cabinet. They are the sides of the cabinets. If they were removable, it would directly contradict McKay, et al.'s teachings regarding the importance of making the cabinet and its various components secure.

With specific regard to claim 1 and McKay, et al., the primary reference relied on in the Office Action, Applicants submit the following claim chart:

Claim 1

U.S. Patent No. 5,813,914

(Mc Kay, et al.)

A gaming device

Yes.

• a gaming device.

a component cabinet;

No.

unitary component and display cabinet.

a display cabinet removably connected to said component cabinet;

No.

· unitary component and display cabinet.

a display device included in said display cabinet and operable with a processor and at least one player input device to enable a player to play a game upon a wager by the player; and No.

 The top cap assembly includes a removable piece of glass. This piece of glass is not operable with a processor or a player input device. The video display of McKay, et al. is in the unitary component and display cabinet.

side panels removably connected to opposite sides of said component cabinet and said display cabinet, wherein each side panel includes a substrate and a decorative layer connected to the substrate.

No.

- no removable side panels;
- no side panels or any other
 element with a substrate and decorative
 layer.

<u>Drabczyk</u> does not teach the elements of the claimed invention not taught by <u>McKay, et al.</u> The rejection of claim 1 is based on the premise that McKay, et al. discloses all the claimed features of the invention of claim 1 (the component cabinet, the display cabinet and display device elements) except side panels including a substrate with a decorative panel and that Drabczyk discloses these features of claim 1. As shown in the claim chart provided above, this is not true.

Claims 3-12 which are dependent on Claim 1

Claims 3-12 depend from claim 1. They are directed to additional features of the invention. They are patentably distinct from the cited prior art for the reasons stated above with regard to claim 1.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

- 10

Terrence W. McMillin Reg. No. 30,476

P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-

1135

Phone: (312) 781-6042

Dated: 7/28/03